UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/051,585	01/18/2002	Takahiro Sato	YAMAP0797US	1116
.,,,,,	7590 08/13/2007 RALINO (GENERAL)	EXAMINER		
RENNER, OT	TO, BOISSELLE & SKI	WILLIAMS, JEFFERY L		
	AVENUE, NINETEEN , OH 44115-2191	TH FLOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
022 (DD. 11 (D			2137	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	. '		08/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/051,585	SATO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	i
Jeffery Williams	2137	

	Jeffery Williams	2137	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		-	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphant / thenament	(1 10L-024).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,		•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. 🗌 Other:		il M	
		MMANUEL MOISE ISORY PATENT EXAMI	MER
	- · - · · · ·		ve i

Part of Paper No. 20070808



Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 2/09/07 have been considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues primarily that Westheimer expressly teaches that whether an instruction is judged encoded or not is based on where the code is stored in the memory (i.e., whether the code is stored within a segment of memory defined by the memory boundary operation code, or outside of said segment). Judgment based on where the code is stored in the RAM, as taught in Westheimer, can hardly be viewed as judgment independent of where the code is stored in RAM, as claimed. In response, the examiner respectfully notes that this argument has already been addressed, and the applicant may refer to the Final Office Action of 5/10/07. It is again noted that Westheimer discloses that the determination is based on the operation code.